

More characterizations of generalized bent function in odd characteristic, their dual and the gray image

Libo Wang^a, Baofeng Wu^b, Zhuojun Liu^a

^a KLMM, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

^b SKLOIS, Institute of Information Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100093, China

Abstract

In this paper, we further investigate properties of generalized bent Boolean functions from $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$, where p is an odd prime and k is a positive integer. For various kinds of representations, sufficient and necessary conditions for bent-ness of such functions are given in terms of their various kinds of component functions. Furthermore, a subclass of gbent functions corresponding to relative difference sets, which we call $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent functions, are studied. It turns out that $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent functions correspond to a class of vectorial bent functions, and the property of being $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent is much stronger than the standard bent-ness. The dual and the generalized Gray image of gbent function are also discussed. In addition, as a further generalization, we also define and give characterizations of gbent functions from $\mathbb{Z}_{p^l}^n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ for a positive integer l with $l < k$.

Key words: generalized bent (gbent) functions, Walsh-Hadamard transform, cyclotomic fields, relative difference set, generalized Gray map.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, let $\mathbb{Z}_{p^t}$ be the ring of integer modulo p^t and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^n$ be a free module over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^t}$ with rank n , where p is a prime and t and n are positive integers. If $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ are two elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^n$, we define their inner product by $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \pmod{p^t}$ (without cause of confusion, we always omit “mod p^t ” in the sequel). For a complex number $z = a + b\sqrt{-1}$, the absolute value of z is $|z| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ and $\bar{z} = a - b\sqrt{-1}$ is the complex conjugate of z , where a and b are real numbers.

A function from $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ is called a generalized Boolean function on n variables, the set formed by which is denoted by $\mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$. Especially, $\mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$ denotes the set of all classical p -ary Boolean functions when $k = 1$. For a function

$f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$, the generalized Walsh-Hadamard transform, which is a function $\mathcal{H}_f : \mathbb{Z}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, can be defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) = p^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \zeta_{p^k}^{f(\mathbf{x})}, \quad (1)$$

for any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$, where $\zeta_p = e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{p}}$ and $\zeta_{p^k} = e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{p^k}}$ represent the complex p -th and p^k -th primitive roots of unity, respectively. The inverse generalized Walsh-Hadamard transform of f is

$$\zeta_{p^k}^{f(\mathbf{x})} = p^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \zeta_p^{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}). \quad (2)$$

We call the function f gbent if $|\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u})| = 1$ for all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$. A gbent function f is regular if there exists some generalized Boolean function f^* satisfying $\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) = \zeta_{p^k}^{f^*(\mathbf{u})}$ for any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$. A gbent function f is called weakly regular if there exists some generalized Boolean function f^* and a complex α with unit magnitude satisfying $\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) = \alpha \zeta_{p^k}^{f^*(\mathbf{u})}$ for any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$. Such a function f^* is called the dual of f . From the inverse generalized Walsh-Hadamard transform, it is easy to see that the dual f^* of a regular (weakly regular) gbent function f is also regular (weakly regular) gbent.

Currently there is a lot of research regarding constructions and analysis of gbent functions both in even and odd characteristic; see for instance [4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15]. In [11], Schmidt proposed the gbent functions from $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_4$, which can be used to constant amplitude codes and $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes for CDMA communications. Later, gbent functions from $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_8$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{16}$ were studied in [12] and [8], respectively. Recently, a generalization of bent functions from $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_q$, where $q \geq 2$ is any positive even integer, have attracted much more attention. Existence, characterizations and constructions of them were studied by several authors [4, 7, 10, 14]. A generalization to the odd characteristic case were also given by the authors [15] in a recent work, and gbent functions from $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ for an odd prime p were studied.

In this paper, we further investigate properties of gbent functions from $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ in the odd characteristic case. Firstly, for various kinds of representations, sufficient and necessary conditions for gbent functions are given in terms of their various kinds of component functions. Secondly, we emphasize that a gbent function conceptually does not correspond to a bent function, since in the definition of generalized Walsh-Hadamard transform not all characters of $\mathbb{Z}_p^n \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ are considered. Thus, in general, a gbent function does not give rise to a relative difference set. For this reason we extend the definition and introduce the term of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent function. We call a function $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent if

$$\mathcal{H}_f^{(p^k)}(a, \mathbf{u}) = p^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \zeta_{p^k}^{af(\mathbf{x})} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}}$$

has absolute value 1 for all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ and all nonzero $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$. The property of being $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent is much stronger than the standard concept of bent-ness.

Therefore, $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent functions seem not easy to obtain. However, we give a construction using partial spreads.

In addition, from the result of [15], we know that for gbent function $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$, there exists a function $f^* : \mathbb{Z}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{cases} \pm \zeta_{p^k}^{f^*(\mathbf{u})} & \text{if } n \text{ is even or } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 1(\text{mod } 4), \\ \pm \sqrt{-1} \zeta_{p^k}^{f^*(\mathbf{u})} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 3(\text{mod } 4). \end{cases}$$

Similar as in [2], we also call this f^* the dual of the gbent function f . Note that this definition is much more formal, since f may not be (weakly) regular (this is because $+$ and $-$ may appear in $\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u})$ for different $\mathbf{u}$). We emphasize that when f is non-(weakly) regular, f^* may not be a gbent function. Anyway, we can explicitly determine f^* in terms of the dual of component functions of f .

Furthermore, every function in $\mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$ have a so-called generalized Gray image, which is a function in $\mathcal{GB}_{n+k-1}^p$. We show that the generalized Gray image of a gbent function in $\mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$ is a $(k-1)$ -plateaued function, where $(k-1)$ -plateaued functions in odd characteristic are given in Definition 5.2.

At last, we also further generalize our study of gbent functions in $\mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$ to gbent functions from $\mathbb{Z}_{p^l}^n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ for a positive integer l with $l < k$, giving their definition and characterizations.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows, In Section 2 we give some preliminary results which will be used later. Some different descriptions for a function in $\mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$ to be gbent is given in Section 3. $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent functions and their relationships to relative difference sets in $\mathbb{Z}_p^n \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ are introduced in Section 4. In Section 5 we specify the dual and generalized Gray map of gbent functions in $\mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$. Finally, gbent functions from $\mathbb{Z}_{p^l}^n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ are introduced and characterized in Section 6. Conclusions are given in Section ??.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we will give some results on cyclotomic fields, which will be used in the following sections. Firstly, we state some basic facts on the cyclotomic fields $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^k})$, which can be found in any book on algebraic number theory, for example [16].

Let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be the ring of integers of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^k})$. It is well known that $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p^k}]$. Any nonzero ideal A of $\mathcal{O}_K$ can be uniquely (up to the order) expressed as

$$A = P_1^{a_1} \cdots P_s^{a_s},$$

where $P_1, \dots, P_s$ are distinct prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$ and $a_i \geq 1$, for $1 \leq i \leq s$. In other words, the set $S(K)$ of all the nonzero ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$ is a free multiplicative commutative semigroup with a basis $B(K)$, the set of all nonzero prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$. Such semigroup $S(K)$ can be extended to the commutative group $I(K)$, called the group of fractional ideals of K . Each element of $I(K)$, called a

fractional ideals, has the form AB^{-1} , where A, B are ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$. For each $\alpha \in K^* = K \setminus \{0\}$, $\alpha\mathcal{O}_K$ is a fractional ideal, called a principle fractional ideal, and we have $(\alpha\mathcal{O}_K)(\beta\mathcal{O}_K) = \alpha\beta\mathcal{O}_K$, $(\alpha\mathcal{O}_K)^{-1} = (\alpha^{-1})\mathcal{O}_K$. Therefore, the set $P(K)$ of all principle fractional ideals is a subgroup of $I(K)$. Some results on K are given in the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $k \geq 2$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^k})$, then*

(i) *The field extension $K/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois of degree $(p-1)p^{k-1}$ and the Galois group $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = \{\sigma_j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}, (j, p) = 1\}$, where the automorphism σ_j of K is defined by $\zeta_{p^k} \mapsto \zeta_{p^k}^j$.*

(ii) *The ring of integers in K is $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p^k}]$ and $\{\zeta_{p^k}^j \mid 0 \leq j \leq (p-1)p^{k-1} - 1\}$ is an integral basis of $\mathcal{O}_K$. The group of roots of unity in $\mathcal{O}_K$ is $W_K = \{\zeta_{2p^k}^j \mid 0 \leq j \leq 2p^k - 1\}$.*

(iii) *The principle ideal $(1 - \zeta_{p^k})\mathcal{O}_K$ is a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$ and the rational prime p is totally ramified in $\mathcal{O}_K$, i.e., $p\mathcal{O}_K = ((1 - \zeta_{p^k})\mathcal{O}_K)^{(p-1)p^{k-1}}$.*

Lemma 2.2 (see [6]). *For a positive integer q ,*

(i) *If $q \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$, then $\sqrt{q} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)$,*

(ii) *If $q \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$, then $\sqrt{q} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4q}) \setminus \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2q})$.*

Lemma 2.3 (see [15]). *For g bent function $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$, there exists a function $f^* : \mathbb{Z}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ such that*

$$\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{cases} \pm \zeta_{p^k}^{f^*(\mathbf{u})} & \text{if } n \text{ is even or } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \pm \sqrt{-1} \zeta_{p^k}^{f^*(\mathbf{u})} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let k is a positive integer and $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}$. Then*

$$\zeta_{p^k}^a = \frac{1}{p^t} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \zeta_{p^t}^{(a-i)j} \right) \zeta_{p^k}^i$$

Proof. Let

$$\mathcal{V}_{p^t}(\zeta_{p^t}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & \zeta_{p^t} & \cdots & \zeta_{p^t}^{p^t-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \zeta_{p^t}^{p^t-1} & \cdots & \zeta_{p^t}^{(p^t-1)(p^t-1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathcal{V}_{p^t}(\zeta_{p^t}^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & \zeta_{p^t}^{-1} & \cdots & \zeta_{p^t}^{-(p^t-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \zeta_{p^t}^{-(p^t-1)} & \cdots & \zeta_{p^t}^{-(p^t-1)(p^t-1)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In fact, we know that $\mathcal{V}_{p^t}(\zeta_{p^t})$ is a generalized Hadamard matrix, i.e., $\mathcal{V}_{p^t}(\zeta_{p^t})(\mathcal{V}_{p^t}(\overline{\zeta_{p^t}}))^T = p^t \mathbf{I}_{p^t}$, and $(\mathcal{V}_{p^t}(\overline{\zeta_{p^t}}))^T = \mathcal{V}_{p^t}(\zeta_{p^t}^{-1})$, therefore, we have

$$\mathcal{V}_{p^t}(\zeta_{p^t})\mathcal{V}_{p^t}(\zeta_{p^t}^{-1}) = p^t \mathbf{I}_{p^t}, \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{I}_{p^t}$ stands for the identity matrix of size p^t . Define now a collection of maps from $\mathbb{C}$ to itself by setting

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_0(z) \\ h_1(z) \\ \vdots \\ h_{p^t-1}(z) \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{V}_{p^t}(\zeta_{p^t}^{-1}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z \\ \vdots \\ z^{p^t-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

or equivalently, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}$,

$$h_j(z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \zeta_{p^t}^{-ji} z^i. \quad (4)$$

Furthermore, according to (3), we have, for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z \\ \vdots \\ z^{p^t-1} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{p^t} \mathcal{V}_{p^t}(\zeta_{p^t}) \begin{pmatrix} h_0(z) \\ h_1(z) \\ \vdots \\ h_{p^t-1}(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

that is, for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}$,

$$z^a = \frac{1}{p^t} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \zeta_{p^t}^{aj} h_j(z). \quad (5)$$

Then plugging (4) into (5), we have

$$z^a = \frac{1}{p^t} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \zeta_{p^t}^{(a-i)j} \right) z^i. \quad (6)$$

Setting $z = \zeta_{p^k}$ and plugging it into (6), we get

$$\zeta_{p^k}^a = \frac{1}{p^t} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \zeta_{p^t}^{(a-i)j} \right) \zeta_{p^k}^i.$$

□

Remark 2.1. Lemma 2.4 generalizes [15, Lemma 2.6].

Lemma 2.5. *Let k, t be positive integers and $k \geq t$. Then we have*

- (i). $\{1, \zeta_{p^k}, \zeta_{p^k}^2, \dots, \zeta_{p^k}^{p^{k-t}-1}\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^k})$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^t})$;
- (ii). $\{1, \zeta_{p^k}, \zeta_{p^k}^2, \dots, \zeta_{p^k}^{p^{k-t}-1}\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^k}, \sqrt{-1})$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^t}, \sqrt{-1})$.

Proof. (i). Since $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^k}) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^t})] = \frac{[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^k}) : \mathbb{Q}]}{[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^t}) : \mathbb{Q}]} = \frac{(p-1)p^{k-1}}{(p-1)p^{t-1}} = p^{k-t}$, we need only to prove $\{1, \zeta_{p^k}, \zeta_{p^k}^2, \dots, \zeta_{p^k}^{p^{k-t}-1}\}$ is linear independently over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^t})$.

Suppose there exists $a_i = \sum_{j=0}^{(p-1)p^{t-1}-1} a_{ij} \zeta_{p^t}^j \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^t})$, $0 \leq i \leq p^{k-t} - 1$, such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p^{k-t}-1} a_i \zeta_{p^k}^i = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p^{k-t}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{(p-1)p^{t-1}-1} a_{ij} \zeta_{p^t}^j \zeta_{p^k}^i = \sum_{i=0}^{p^{k-t}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{(p-1)p^{t-1}-1} a_{ij} \zeta_{p^k}^{i+jp^{k-t}} = 0.$$

It is well known that $\{1, \zeta_{p^k}, \zeta_{p^k}^2, \dots, \zeta_{p^k}^{(p-1)p^{k-1}-1}\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^k})$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, thus $a_{ij} = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq p^{k-t} - 1$ and $0 \leq j \leq (p-1)p^{t-1} - 1$, i.e., $a_i = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq p^{k-t} - 1$.

(ii). Suppose there exists $a_i = \sum_{j=0}^{(p-1)p^{t-1}-1} a_{ij} \zeta_{p^t}^j \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^t}, \sqrt{-1})$, $0 \leq i \leq p^{k-t} - 1$, and $a_{ij} = b_{ij} + c_{ij} \sqrt{-1}$, such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p^{k-t}-1} a_i \zeta_{p^k}^i = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{p^{k-t}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{(p-1)p^{t-1}-1} a_{ij} \zeta_{p^t}^j \zeta_{p^k}^i &= \sum_{i=0}^{p^{k-t}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{(p-1)p^{t-1}-1} a_{ij} \zeta_{p^k}^{i+jp^{k-t}} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{p^{k-t}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{(p-1)p^{t-1}-1} b_{ij} \zeta_{p^k}^{i+jp^{k-t}} + \sqrt{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{p^{k-t}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{(p-1)p^{t-1}-1} c_{ij} \zeta_{p^k}^{i+jp^{k-t}} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $\sum_{i=0}^{p^{k-t}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{(p-1)p^{t-1}-1} b_{ij} \zeta_{p^k}^{i+jp^{k-t}} \neq 0$, then $\sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^k})$, which is a contradiction. Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p^{k-t}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{(p-1)p^{t-1}-1} b_{ij} \zeta_{p^k}^{i+jp^{k-t}} = \sum_{i=0}^{p^{k-t}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{(p-1)p^{t-1}-1} c_{ij} \zeta_{p^k}^{i+jp^{k-t}} = 0.$$

Similarly as in (i), b_{ij} and c_{ij} equal to 0 for all $0 \leq i \leq p^{k-t} - 1$ and $0 \leq j \leq (p-1)p^{t-1} - 1$, i.e., $a_i = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq p^{k-t} - 1$. $\square$

Remark 2.2. Lemma 2.5 generalizes [15, Lemma 2.7].

Lemma 2.6. Let $\gamma_{\mathbf{a}} = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}} \zeta_{p^t}^{-\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{v}} \zeta_{p^k}^{\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} p^{(j-1)t} v_j}$, where $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}$ and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{l-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}$. Then

$$\zeta_{p^k}^e = \frac{1}{p^{t(l-1)}} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}} \zeta_{p^t}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{u}} \gamma_{\mathbf{a}},$$

where $e = \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} u_j p^{(j-1)t}$ and $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{l-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p^{t(l-1)}} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}} \zeta_{p^t}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{u}} \gamma_{\mathbf{a}} &= \frac{1}{p^{t(l-1)}} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}} \zeta_{p^t}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{u}} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}} \zeta_{p^t}^{-\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{v}} \zeta_{p^k}^{\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} p^{(j-1)t} v_j} \\ &= \frac{1}{p^{t(l-1)}} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}} \zeta_{p^k}^{\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} p^{(j-1)t} v_j} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}} \zeta_{p^t}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v})} \\ &= \frac{1}{p^{t(l-1)}} \cdot p^{t(l-1)} \zeta_{p^k}^{\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} p^{(j-1)t} u_j} = \zeta_{p^k}^e. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.3. Lemma 2.6 coincides with [15, Lemma 2.8], if we set $l = k$ when $t = 1$, $l = k$.

Remark 2.4. Note that when $k = lt$, $\{1, \zeta_{p^k}, \zeta_{p^k}^2, \dots, \zeta_{p^k}^{p^{k-t}-1}\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^k})$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^t})$, and $\zeta_{p^k}^e, 0 \leq e \leq p^{k-t} - 1$, can be expressed by $\gamma_{\mathbf{a}}, \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}$, where the coefficients can form a non-singular matrix over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^t})$, by Lemma 2.6. So $\{\gamma_{\mathbf{a}} | \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}\}$ is also a basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^k})$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^t})$. Similarly, when $k = lt$, $\{\gamma_{\mathbf{a}} | \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}\}$ is also a basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^k}, \sqrt{-1})$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^t}, \sqrt{-1})$.

Lemma 2.7. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_{l-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}$ and $\gamma_{\mathbf{a}} = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}} \zeta_{p^t}^{-\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{v}} \zeta_{p^k}^{\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} p^{(i-1)t} v_i}$. Then

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{a}} = \prod_{i=1}^{l-1} \left(\sum_{v_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \zeta_{p^t}^{-v_i a_i} \zeta_{p^k}^{p^{(i-1)t} v_i} \right).$$

Proof. Obvious. □

Remark 2.5. Lemma 2.7 is equivalent to [15, Lemma 2.9].

3 More characterizations for gbent functions

In this section, we give more characterizations for gbent functions in $\mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$, extending the work in [15].

Lemma 3.1. *Let $k \geq 2t$ and $f : \mathbb{Z}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ be defined by*

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} p^{i-1} a_i(\mathbf{x}) = g(\mathbf{x}) + p^t h(\mathbf{x})$$

for some p -ary Boolean functions $a_i : \mathbb{Z}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p, 1 \leq i \leq k$, and

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^t p^{i-1} a_i(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^t}, \quad h(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-t} p^{i-1} a_{t+i}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^{k-t}}.$$

Then for every $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$,

$$\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{p^t} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \mathcal{H}_{h+cp^{k-2t}g}(\mathbf{u}) \gamma_c,$$

where $\gamma_c = \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \zeta_{p^t}^{-cd} \zeta_{p^k}^d$.

Proof. By the definition of generalized Walsh-Hadamard transform, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p^{\frac{n}{2}} \mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \zeta_{p^k}^{f(\mathbf{x})} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \zeta_{p^k}^{g(\mathbf{x})} \zeta_{p^{k-t}}^{h(\mathbf{x})} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \zeta_{p^{k-t}}^{h(\mathbf{x})} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \left(\frac{1}{p^t} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \left(\sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \zeta_{p^t}^{(g(\mathbf{x})-d)c} \right) \zeta_{p^k}^d \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^t} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \zeta_{p^{k-t}}^{h(\mathbf{x})} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \left(\sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \left(\sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \zeta_{p^t}^{-cd} \zeta_{p^k}^d \right) \zeta_{p^t}^{cg(\mathbf{x})} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^t} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \zeta_{p^{k-t}}^{h(\mathbf{x})} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \zeta_{p^t}^{cg(\mathbf{x})} \left(\sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \zeta_{p^t}^{-cd} \zeta_{p^k}^d \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^t} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \zeta_{p^{k-t}}^{h(\mathbf{x})+cp^{k-2t}g(\mathbf{x})} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \left(\sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \zeta_{p^t}^{-cd} \zeta_{p^k}^d \right) \\ &= \frac{p^{\frac{n}{2}}}{p^t} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \mathcal{H}_{h+cp^{k-2t}g}(\mathbf{u}) \gamma_c. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 3.1. Let $k \geq 2t$ and $f : \mathbb{Z}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ be defined by

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} p^{i-1} a_i(\mathbf{x}) = g(\mathbf{x}) + p^t h(\mathbf{x}),$$

where a_i , g and h are defined as in Lemma 3.1. Then f is gbent if and only if for any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}$, there exist some $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{k-t}}$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}_{h+cp^{k-2t}g}(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{cases} \pm \zeta_{p^{k-t}}^j \zeta_{p^t}^{cd} & \text{if } n \text{ is even or } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \pm \sqrt{-1} \zeta_{p^{k-t}}^j \zeta_{p^t}^{cd} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

where $h + cp^{k-2t}g \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^{k-t}}$ for every $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}$, and j and d only depend on $\mathbf{u}$ and f .

Proof. If n is even or n is odd and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) = \pm \zeta_{p^k}^i$, for some $0 \leq i \leq p^k - 1$, by Lemma 2.3. Hence, $\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u})$ can be expressed as $\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) = \pm \zeta_{p^{k-t}}^j \zeta_{p^k}^{i-jp^t}$, where $0 \leq j \leq p^{k-t} - 1$. Let $d = i - jp^t$, $0 \leq d \leq p^t - 1$. According to Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{p^t} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \mathcal{H}_{h+cp^{k-2t}g}(\mathbf{u}) \gamma_c, \quad (7)$$

where $\gamma_c = \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \zeta_{p^t}^{-cd} \zeta_{p^k}^d$. By Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\zeta_{p^k}^d = \frac{1}{p^t} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \zeta_{p^t}^{cd} \gamma_c.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) &= \pm \zeta_{p^{k-t}}^j \zeta_{p^k}^{i-jp^t} = \pm \zeta_{p^{k-t}}^j \zeta_{p^k}^d \\ &= \pm \frac{1}{p^t} \zeta_{p^{k-t}}^j \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \zeta_{p^t}^{cd} \gamma_c. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Combining (7) with (8), we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) &= \frac{1}{p^t} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \mathcal{H}_{h+cp^{k-2t}g}(\mathbf{u}) \gamma_c \\ &= \pm \frac{1}{p^t} \zeta_{p^{k-t}}^j \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \zeta_{p^t}^{c \cdot d} \gamma_c. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Since $h + cp^{k-2t}g \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^{k-t}}$, it is absolutely that $\mathcal{H}_{h+cp^{k-2t}g}(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^{k-t}})$ in the case of n is even or n is odd and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. We note that $\{1, \zeta_{p^k}, \dots, \zeta_{p^k}^{p^t-1}\}$

is a basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^k})$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^{k-t}})$ by Lemma 2.5 (i). So, $\{\gamma_c | c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}\}$ is also a basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^k})$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^{k-t}})$ by Remark 2.4. Further, by $k \geq 2t$, we have $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^t}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^{k-t}})$.

Based on the above discussions and (9), we can get

$$\mathcal{H}_{h+cp^{k-2t}g}(\mathbf{u}) = \pm \zeta_{p^{k-t}}^j \zeta_{p^t}^{cd}.$$

If n is odd and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then $\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) = \pm \sqrt{-1} \zeta_{p^k}^i$ for some $0 \leq i \leq p^k - 1$ by Lemma 2.3. Note that in this case, by Lemma 2.2 (ii), we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{h+cp^{k-2t}g}(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4p^{k-t}}) \setminus \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2p^{k-t}}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^{k-t}}, \sqrt{-1}).$$

Similar as before, we can get

$$\mathcal{H}_{h+cp^{k-2t}g}(\mathbf{u}) = \pm \sqrt{-1} \zeta_{p^{k-t}}^j \zeta_{p^t}^{cd}.$$

□

Theorem 3.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$ and $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^k p^{i-1} a_i(\mathbf{x})$, for some p -ary Boolean functions $a_i : \mathbb{Z}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_{s-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{s-1}$, and denote

$$g_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-ts} p^{i-1} a_{t(s-1)+i}(\mathbf{x}) + p^{k-ts} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} c_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^t p^{j-1} a_{(i-1)t+j}(\mathbf{x}) \right) + \sum_{j=1}^t p^{j-1} a_{k-t+j}(\mathbf{x}) \right).$$

Then f is gbent if and only if $g_{\mathbf{c}}$ is gbent in $\mathcal{GB}_n^{p^{k-(s-1)t}}$ for any s satisfying $st \leq k$, and there exist some $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{s-1}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{k-t}}$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}_{g_{\mathbf{c}}}(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{cases} \pm \zeta_{p^{k-(s-1)t}}^j \zeta_{p^t}^{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even or } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \pm \sqrt{-1} \zeta_{p^{k-(s-1)t}}^j \zeta_{p^t}^{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

where j and d only depend on $\mathbf{u}$ and f .

Proof. We show the result by induction.

If $s = 1$, the claim is obvious, because $g_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x})$ in this case.

If $s = 2$, by taking $g = \sum_{i=1}^t p^{i-1} a_i$ and $h = \sum_{i=1}^{k-t} p^{i-1} a_{t+i}$, the claim follows from Theorem 3.1. Since f is gbent if and only if for any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}$, there exist some $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{k-t}}$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}_{h+cp^{k-2t}g}(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{cases} \pm \zeta_{p^{k-t}}^j \zeta_{p^t}^{cd} & \text{if } n \text{ is even or } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \pm \sqrt{-1} \zeta_{p^{k-t}}^j \zeta_{p^t}^{cd} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

where j and d only depend on $\mathbf{u}$ and f . Note that $g_c = h + cp^{k-2t}g$, then it is easy to see that the claim holds.

Assume the result is true for some s satisfying $(s+1)t \leq k$, i.e., for all $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{s-1}$, and

$$g_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-ts} p^{i-1} a_{t(s-1)+i}(\mathbf{x}) + p^{k-ts} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} c_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^t p^{j-1} a_{(i-1)t+j}(\mathbf{x}) \right) + \sum_{j=1}^t p^{j-1} a_{k-t+j}(\mathbf{x}) \right). \quad (10)$$

There exist some $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{s-1}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{k-(s-1)t}}$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}_{g_{\mathbf{c}}}(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{cases} \pm \zeta_{p^{k-(s-1)t}}^j \zeta_{p^t}^{\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{d}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even or } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 1(\text{mod } 4), \\ \pm \sqrt{-1} \zeta_{p^{k-(s-1)t}}^j \zeta_{p^t}^{\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{d}} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 3(\text{mod } 4), \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{d}$ and j only depend on $\mathbf{u}$ and f . We show that the result also holds for $s+1$.

Note that (10) can be expressed by

$$g_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^t p^{i-1} a_{t(s-1)+i}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-t(s+1)} p^t (p^{i-1} a_{ts+i}(\mathbf{x}) + p^{k-t(s+1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} c_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^t p^{j-1} a_{(i-1)t+j}(\mathbf{x}) \right) + \sum_{j=1}^t p^{j-1} a_{k-t+j}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \Bigg).$$

Let

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^t p^{i-1} a_{t(s-1)+i}(\mathbf{x})$$

and

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-t(s+1)} p^{i-1} a_{ts+i}(\mathbf{x}) + p^{k-t(s+1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} c_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^t p^{j-1} a_{(i-1)t+j}(\mathbf{x}) \right) + \sum_{j=1}^t p^{j-1} a_{k-t+j}(\mathbf{x}) \right).$$

Note that $g_{\mathbf{c}} \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^{k-(s-1)t}}$ and $g_{\mathbf{c}'} = h + cp^{k-(s-1)t-2t}g = h + cp^{k-(s+1)t}g \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^{k-st}}$, by Theorem 3.1, $g_{\mathbf{c}}$ is gbent if and only if for any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}$, there exist some $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}$ and $j' \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{k-st}}$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}_{g_{\mathbf{c}'}}(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{cases} \pm \zeta_{p^{k-st}}^j \zeta_{p^t}^{\mathbf{c}' \cdot \mathbf{d}'} & \text{if } n \text{ is even or } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 1(\text{mod } 4), \\ \pm \sqrt{-1} \zeta_{p^{k-st}}^j \zeta_{p^t}^{\mathbf{c}' \cdot \mathbf{d}'} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 3(\text{mod } 4), \end{cases}$$

where j' and d only depend on $\mathbf{u}$ and $g_{\mathbf{c}}$.

This completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 3.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$ and $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^k p^{i-1} a_i(\mathbf{x})$, for some p -ary Boolean functions $a_i : \mathbb{Z}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_{s-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{s-1}$, and denote

$$g_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-s} p^{i-1} a_{s-1+i}(\mathbf{x}) + p^{k-s} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} c_i a_i(\mathbf{x}) + a_k(\mathbf{x}) \right).$$

Then f is gbent if and only if $g_{\mathbf{c}}$ is gbent in $\mathcal{GB}_n^{k+1-s}$ for any s satisfying $1 \leq s \leq k$, and there exist some $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{s-1}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{k-1}}$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}_{g_{\mathbf{c}}}(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{cases} \pm \zeta_{p^{k+1-s}}^j \zeta_p^{\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{d}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even or } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 1(\text{mod } 4), \\ \pm \sqrt{-1} \zeta_{p^{k+1-s}}^j \zeta_p^{\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{d}} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 3(\text{mod } 4), \end{cases}$$

where j and d only depend on $\mathbf{u}$ and f .

Proof. The result follows by setting $t = 1$ in Theorem 3.2. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. Let $k = lt$ and $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$ be defined as $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^l p^{(i-1)t} b_i(\mathbf{x})$, where $b_i \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^t}$, $1 \leq i \leq l$. Then for every $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$,

$$\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{p^{k-t}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}} \mathcal{H}_{b_l + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} c_j b_j(\mathbf{x})}(\mathbf{u}) \gamma_{\mathbf{c}},$$

$$\text{where } \gamma_{\mathbf{c}} = \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}} \zeta_{p^t}^{-\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{d}} \zeta_{p^k}^{\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} p^{(j-1)t} d_j}.$$

Proof. By the definition of generalized Walsh-Hadamard transform, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p^{\frac{n}{2}} \mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \zeta_{p^k}^{f(\mathbf{x})} = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \zeta_{p^t}^{b_l(\mathbf{x})} \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \zeta_{p^k}^{p^{(j-1)t} b_j(\mathbf{x})} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \zeta_{p^t}^{b_l(\mathbf{x})} \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{1}{p^t} \left(\sum_{d_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \left(\sum_{c_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \zeta_{p^t}^{c_j (b_j(\mathbf{x}) - d_j)} \right) \zeta_{p^k}^{p^{(j-1)t} d_j} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^{k-t}} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \zeta_{p^t}^{b_l(\mathbf{x})} \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \left(\sum_{c_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \left(\sum_{d_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \zeta_{p^t}^{-c_j d_j} \zeta_{p^k}^{p^{(j-1)t} d_j} \right) \zeta_{p^t}^{c_j b_j(\mathbf{x})} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^{k-t}} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \zeta_{p^t}^{b_l(\mathbf{x})} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}} \zeta_{p^t}^{\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} c_j b_j(\mathbf{x})} \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \left(\sum_{d_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \zeta_{p^t}^{-c_j d_j} \zeta_{p^k}^{p^{(j-1)t} d_j} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^{k-t}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \zeta_{p^t}^{b_l(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} c_j b_j(\mathbf{x})} \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \left(\sum_{d_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}} \zeta_{p^t}^{-c_j d_j} \zeta_{p^k}^{p^{(j-1)t} d_j} \right) \\ &= \frac{p^{\frac{n}{2}}}{p^{k-t}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}} \mathcal{H}_{b_l + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} c_j b_j}(\mathbf{u}) \gamma_{\mathbf{c}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the last equality holds by Lemma 2.7. Then the result follows. $\square$

Theorem 3.3. Let $k = lt$ and $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$ be defined as $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^l p^{(i-1)t} b_i(\mathbf{x})$, where $b_i \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^t}$, $1 \leq i \leq l$. Then f is gbent if and only if for any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ and $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}$, there exists some $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}_{b_l + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} c_j b_j}(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{cases} \pm \zeta_{p^t}^{j+\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{d}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even or } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \pm \sqrt{-1} \zeta_{p^t}^{j+\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{d}} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

where $b_l + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} c_j b_j \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^t}$ for every $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}$, and $\mathbf{d}$ and j only depend on $\mathbf{u}$ and f .

Proof. If n is even or n is odd and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) = \pm \zeta_{p^k}^i$, for some $0 \leq i \leq p^k - 1$, by Lemma 2.3. Hence, $\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u})$ can be expressed as $\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) = \pm \zeta_{p^t}^j \zeta_{p^k}^{i-jp^{k-t}}$, where $0 \leq j \leq p^t - 1$, and let $d = i - jp^{k-t}$, $0 \leq d \leq p^{k-t} - 1$. Further, note that $k = lt$, d can be expressed by $d = \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} p^{(j-1)t} d_j$. We denote $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_{l-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}$.

According to Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{p^{k-t}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}} \mathcal{H}_{b_l + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} c_j b_j}(\mathbf{u}) \gamma_{\mathbf{c}}, \quad (11)$$

where $\gamma_{\mathbf{c}} = \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}} \zeta_{p^t}^{-\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{d}} \zeta_{p^k}^{\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} p^{(j-1)t} d_j}$. By Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\zeta_{p^k}^d = \frac{1}{p^{k-t}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}} \zeta_{p^t}^{\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{d}} \gamma_{\mathbf{c}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) &= \pm \zeta_{p^t}^j \zeta_{p^k}^{i-jp^{k-t}} = \pm \zeta_{p^t}^j \zeta_{p^k}^d \\ &= \pm \frac{1}{p^{k-t}} \zeta_{p^t}^j \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}} \zeta_{p^t}^{\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{d}} \gamma_{\mathbf{c}}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Combining (11) with (12), we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) &= \frac{1}{p^{k-t}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}} \mathcal{H}_{b_l + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} c_j b_j}(\mathbf{u}) \gamma_{\mathbf{c}} \\ &= \pm \frac{1}{p^{k-t}} \zeta_{p^t}^j \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}} \zeta_{p^t}^{\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{d}} \gamma_{\mathbf{c}}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Since $b_l + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} c_j b_j \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^t}$, it is absolutely that $\mathcal{H}_{b_l + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} c_j b_j}(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^t})$ in the case of n is even or n is odd and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. We note that $\{1, \zeta_{p^k}, \dots, \zeta_{p^k}^{p^{k-t}-1}\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^k})$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^t})$ by condition (i) of Lemma 2.5. So, $\{\gamma_{\mathbf{c}} | \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^t}^{l-1}\}$ is also a basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^k})$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^t})$ by Remark 2.4.

Based on the above discussions and (13), we can get

$$\mathcal{H}_{b_l + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} c_j b_j}(\mathbf{u}) = \pm \zeta_{p^t}^{j+\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{d}}.$$

If n is odd and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then $\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) = \pm \sqrt{-1} \zeta_{p^k}^i$, for some $0 \leq i \leq p^k - 1$, by Lemma 2.3. Note that in this case, by Lemma 2.2 (ii), we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{b_l + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} c_j b_j}(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4p^t}) \setminus \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2p^t}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^t}, \sqrt{-1}).$$

Similar as before, we can get

$$\mathcal{H}_{b_l + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} c_j b_j}(\mathbf{u}) = \pm \sqrt{-1} \zeta_{p^t}^{j+\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{d}}.$$

□

Remark 3.1. Theorem 3.3 generalizes [15, Theorem 3.2].

4 $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent functions, vectorial bent functions and relative difference sets

We recall that a $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent function is a function from $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$, for which

$$\mathcal{H}_f(a, \mathbf{u}) = p^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \zeta_{p^k}^{af(\mathbf{x})} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}}$$

has absolute value 1 for every $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ and nonzero $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$. Firstly, we have the following proposition for $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent function.

Proposition 4.1. A function $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p^i a_i(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent if and only if $p^t f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-t-1} p^{t+i} a_i(\mathbf{x})$ is a gbent function for every $0 \leq t \leq k-1$.

Proof. If f is $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent, then $|\mathcal{H}_f^{(p^k)}(p^t, \mathbf{u})| = |\mathcal{H}_{p^t f}^{(p^k)}(\mathbf{u})| = 1$ for every $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ and $0 \leq t \leq k-1$ by definition.

For the converse, let $a = p^t z$, for $0 \leq t \leq k-1$ and $(z, p) = 1$. We have to show that $|\mathcal{H}_f^{(p^k)}(a, \mathbf{u})| = 1$ for all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$. Let $f_t(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-t-1} p^{t+i} a_i(\mathbf{x})$. By the assumption, for all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$,

$$\mathcal{H}_f^{(p^k)}(p^t, \mathbf{u}) = p^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \zeta_{p^k}^{\sum_{i=0}^{k-t-1} p^{t+i} a_i(\mathbf{x})} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= p^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \zeta_{p^{k-t}}^{\sum_{i=0}^{k-t-1} p^i a_i(\mathbf{x})} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\
&= \mathcal{H}_{f_t}^{(p^{k-t})}(\mathbf{u}),
\end{aligned}$$

which has absolute value 1.

Let $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^{k-t}})/\mathbb{Q})$ and $\sigma(\zeta_{p^{k-t}}) = \zeta_{p^{k-t}}^z$. Then we have

$$\sigma\left(p^{\frac{n}{2}} \mathcal{H}_{f_t}^{(p^{k-t})}(\mathbf{u})\right) = p^{\frac{n}{2}} \mathcal{H}_{f_t}^{(p^{k-t})}(z, \tilde{z}\mathbf{u}), \quad (14)$$

where $z \equiv \tilde{z} \pmod{p}$. Note that $(z, p) = (\tilde{z}, p) = 1$, as $\mathbf{u}$ runs through the elements of $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$, so does $\tilde{z}\mathbf{u}$. It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{H}_f^{(p^k)}(p^t z, \mathbf{u}) = \mathcal{H}_{f_t}^{(p^{k-t})}(z, \mathbf{u})$. Further, by the assumption $p^t f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-t-1} p^{t+i} a_i(\mathbf{x})$ is gbent and (14), we can get $|\mathcal{H}_f^{(p^k)}(p^t z, \mathbf{u})| = |\mathcal{H}_{f_t}^{(p^{k-t})}(z, \mathbf{u})| = 1$ for every $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$. $\square$

Remark 4.1. Note that $p^t f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-t-1} p^{t+i} a_i(\mathbf{x})$ is a gbent function in $\mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$ if and only if $f_t(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-t-1} p^i a_i(\mathbf{x})$ is gbent in $\mathcal{GB}_n^{p^{k-t}}$. For a $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent function in $\mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$, by Proposition 4.1, we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mathbf{x}) &= a_0(\mathbf{x}) + p a_1(\mathbf{x}) + \cdots + p^{k-1} a_{k-1}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}, \\
f_1(\mathbf{x}) &= a_0(\mathbf{x}) + p a_1(\mathbf{x}) + \cdots + p^{k-2} a_{k-2}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^{k-1}}, \\
&\vdots \\
f_{k-1}(\mathbf{x}) &= a_0(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{GB}_n^p
\end{aligned}$$

are gbent functions (f_{k-1} is p -ary bent). Further, by Corollary ??, we can get $\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1} \rangle$ is a vector space of bent functions over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, i.e., a vectorial bent function.

In addition to applications in cryptography, one motivation for considering bent functions is their relation to objects in combinatorics. In the following, we point out a relation between relative difference set and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent functions. Firstly, we recall the definition of a relative difference set. Let G be a group of order uv , Let N be a subgroup of G of order v and let R be a subset of G of cardinality k . Then R is called a (u, v, k, λ) -relative difference set of G relative to N , if every element $g \in G \setminus N$ can be represented in exactly λ ways as difference $r_1 - r_2$, $r_1, r_2 \in R$, and no nonzero element of N has such a representation.

Relative difference set can be described by characters as follows.

Proposition 4.2 (see [13]). *Let G be a group order uv and Let N be a subgroup of G of order v . A subset R with cardinality k of G is a (u, v, k, λ) -relative difference set of G relative to N if and only if for every character χ of G*

$$|\chi(R)|^2 = \begin{cases} k^2 & \text{if } \chi = \chi_0, \\ k - \lambda v & \text{if } \chi \neq \chi_0, \text{ but } \chi(g) = 1 \text{ for all } g \in N, \\ k & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\chi(R) = \sum_{x \in R} \chi(x)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent function. Then the graph $R = \{(\mathbf{x}, f(\mathbf{x})) : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n\}$ is a (p^n, p^k, p^n, p^{n-k}) -relative difference set of $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^n \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ relative to $N = \{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$.*

Proof. We denote the group of characters of G by $\widehat{G}$. It is known that $\widehat{G} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_p^n} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}}$, so $\widehat{G} = \{\chi_{\mathbf{u},a} : \chi_{\mathbf{u},a}(\mathbf{x}, y) = \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \zeta_{p^k}^{ay}, \text{ for all } (\mathbf{x}, y) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}\}$. For a character $\chi_{\mathbf{u},a}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi_{\mathbf{u},a}(R)|^2 &= \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, f(\mathbf{x})) \in R} \zeta_{p^k}^{af(\mathbf{x})} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \sum_{(\mathbf{y}, f(\mathbf{y})) \in R} \overline{\zeta_{p^k}^{af(\mathbf{y})} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{y}}} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \zeta_{p^k}^{af(\mathbf{x})} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \overline{\zeta_{p^k}^{af(\mathbf{y})} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{y}}} \\ &= \begin{cases} p^{2n} & \text{for } \chi_{\mathbf{0},0}, \\ 0 & \text{for } \chi_{\mathbf{u},0}, \mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{0}, \\ p^n & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that the last equality holds for $\chi_{\mathbf{0},0}$ and $\chi_{\mathbf{u},0}, \mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{0}$, and note that f is $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent, it also holds for other cases. By proposition 4.2, we conclude the proof. $\square$

We close this section with the following example, which gives a class of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent functions. This class is defined via spreads. We start by recalling that a spread of $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$, $n = 2m$, is a family of $p^m + 1$ subspaces $U_0, U_1, \dots, U_{p^m}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$, whose pairwise intersection is trivial. The classical example is the regular spread, which for $\mathbb{Z}_p^{2m} \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^m} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ is represented by the family $S = \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}} \{(x, sx) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}\} \cup \{(0, y) : y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}\}$.

Example 4.1. *Let $U_0, U_1, \dots, U_{p^m}$ be the elements of a spread of $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$, $n = 2m$. We first construct a vectorial bent function F , and thereafter a $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent function f .*

Let $\phi : \{1, 2, \dots, p^{\frac{n}{2}}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^k$ be a balanced map, thus any $y \in \mathbb{Z}_p^k$ has exactly $p^{n/2-k}$ preimages in the set $\{1, 2, \dots, p^{\frac{n}{2}}\}$. Then the function $F : \mathbb{Z}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^k$ given by

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \phi(s) & \mathbf{x} \in U_s, 1 \leq s \leq p^m, \text{ and } x \neq 0, \\ 0 & \mathbf{x} \in U_0, \end{cases}$$

is a vectorial bent function. The proof is similar with the even characteristic case, and we omit it here. The interest reader can refer to [5, Example 2].

We now construct the $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent function. From the balanced map ϕ , we obtain in a natural way a balanced map $\bar{\phi}$ from $\{1, 2, \dots, p^{\frac{n}{2}}\}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ defined as $\bar{\phi}(s) = y_0 + py_1 + \dots + p^{k-1}y_{k-1}$ if $\phi(s) = (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{k-1})$. Then the function

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \bar{\phi}(s) & \mathbf{x} \in U_s, 1 \leq s \leq p^m, \text{ and } x \neq 0, \\ 0 & \mathbf{x} \in U_0, \end{cases}$$

from $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent. We need to prove that $|\mathcal{H}_f(c, \mathbf{u})| = 1$ for every nonzero $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_f(c, \mathbf{u}) &= p^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \zeta_{p^k}^{cf(\mathbf{x})} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^{p^m} \sum_{x \in U_s \setminus \{0\}} \zeta_{p^k}^{cf(\mathbf{x})} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} + \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in U_0} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^{p^m} \zeta_{p^k}^{c\bar{\phi}(s)} \sum_{x \in U_s} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} - \sum_{s=1}^{p^m} \zeta_{p^k}^{c\bar{\phi}(s)} + \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in U_0} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\sum_{s=1}^{p^m} \zeta_{p^k}^{c\bar{\phi}(s)} = 0$ for all nonzero $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$. Consequently for $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_f(c, \mathbf{u}) &= \sum_{s=1}^{p^m} \zeta_{p^k}^{c\bar{\phi}(s)} \sum_{x \in U_s} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} + \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in U_0} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\
&= \begin{cases} p^{\frac{n}{2}} & \mathbf{u} \in U_0^\perp, \\ p^{\frac{n}{2}} \zeta_{p^k}^{c\bar{\phi}(\tilde{s})} & \mathbf{u} \in U_{\tilde{s}}^\perp \text{ for some } 1 \leq \tilde{s} \leq p^{\frac{n}{2}}. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Again using that $\sum_{s=1}^{p^m} \zeta_{p^k}^{c\bar{\phi}(s)} = 0$, we obtain $\mathcal{H}_f(c, \mathbf{0}) = p^{\frac{n}{2}}$, and the proof is completed.

At last, we emphasize that the property of being $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent is much stronger than the property of being vectorial bent. $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent functions are very interesting vectorial bent functions since they correspond two relative difference sets with parameters (p^n, p^k, p^n, p^{n-k}) : first of all, being vectorial bent, they correspond to the relative difference set $D = \{(\mathbf{x}, a_0(\mathbf{x}), a_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, a_{k-1}(\mathbf{x})) : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n\}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p^n \times \mathbb{Z}_p^k$, and secondly, to the relative difference set $R = \{(\mathbf{x}, \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i(\mathbf{x})) : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n\}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p^n \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$. Besides, it would be interesting to construct more $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent functions.

5 The dual and Gray map of gbent functions

In this section we firstly attempt to describe the dual f^* of an arbitrary gbent function in $\mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$, then the generalized Gray map of gbent function is considered.

5.1 The dual of gbent function

Lemma 5.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$ be given as $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p^i a_i(\mathbf{x})$, and $g_c(\mathbf{x}) = a_{k-1}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} c_i a_i(\mathbf{x})$, where $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{k-2}) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}$. Then*

(i).

$$\zeta_{p^k}^{f(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{1}{p^{k-1}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}} \zeta_p^{g_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x})} \gamma_{\mathbf{c}},$$

where $\gamma_{\mathbf{c}}$ defined as in Remark 2.5.

(ii). For any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{p^{k-1}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}} \mathcal{W}_{g_{\mathbf{c}}}(\mathbf{u}) \gamma_{\mathbf{c}}.$$

Proof. (i). It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{p^k}^{f(\mathbf{x})} &= \zeta_{p^k}^{\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p^i a_i(\mathbf{x})} \\ &= \zeta_p^{a_{k-1}(\mathbf{x})} \prod_{i=0}^{k-2} \zeta_{p^k}^{p^i a_i(\mathbf{x})} \\ &= \zeta_p^{a_{k-1}(\mathbf{x})} \prod_{i=0}^{k-2} \frac{1}{p} \left(\sum_{d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \left(\sum_{c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \zeta_p^{(a_i(\mathbf{x}) - d_i) c_i} \right) \zeta_{p^k}^{p^i d_i} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^{k-1}} \zeta_p^{a_{k-1}(\mathbf{x})} \prod_{i=0}^{k-2} \left(\sum_{c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \left(\sum_{d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \zeta_p^{-d_i c_i} \zeta_{p^k}^{p^i d_i} \right) \zeta_p^{c_i a_i(\mathbf{x})} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^{k-1}} \zeta_p^{a_{k-1}(\mathbf{x})} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}} \zeta_p^{\sum_{i=0}^{k-2} c_i a_i(\mathbf{x})} \prod_{i=0}^{k-2} \left(\sum_{d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \zeta_p^{-d_i c_i} \zeta_{p^k}^{p^i d_i} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^{k-1}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}} \zeta_p^{a_{k-1}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} c_i a_i(\mathbf{x})} \prod_{i=0}^{k-2} \left(\sum_{d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \zeta_p^{-d_i c_i} \zeta_{p^k}^{p^i d_i} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^{k-1}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}} \zeta_p^{g_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x})} \gamma_{\mathbf{c}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality holds from Lemma 2.7.

(ii). By the definition, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) = p^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \zeta_{p^k}^{f(\mathbf{x})} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}}.$$

Using (i), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) &= \frac{p^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{p^{k-1}} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}} \zeta_p^{g_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x})} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \gamma_{\mathbf{c}} \\ &= \frac{p^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{p^{k-1}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \zeta_p^{g_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x})} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right) \gamma_{\mathbf{c}} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{p^{k-1}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}} \mathcal{W}_{g_{\mathbf{c}}}(\mathbf{u}) \gamma_{\mathbf{c}}.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

From Lemma 2.3, we know that for gbent function $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$, there exists a function $f^* : \mathbb{Z}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{cases} \pm \zeta_{p^k}^{f^*(\mathbf{u})} & \text{if } n \text{ is even or } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \pm \sqrt{-1} \zeta_{p^k}^{f^*(\mathbf{u})} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

In [2], f^* is called the dual of gbent function f . We emphasize that when f is non-weakly regular, f^* may not be gbent function. Then, in the following, we will describe the dual f^* of a gbent function $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$ via the dual of the component functions of f .

Theorem 5.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$ be a gbent function given as $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p^i a_i(\mathbf{x})$, for some p -ary Boolean functions a_i , $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, with component functions $g_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x}) = a_{k-1}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} c_i a_i(\mathbf{x})$, where $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{k-2}) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}$. Then the dual $f^* \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$ of f is given as $f^*(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p^i b_i(\mathbf{x})$, where $b_{k-1}(\mathbf{x}) = a_{k-1}^*(\mathbf{x})$, $b_j(\mathbf{x}) = (a_{k-1}(\mathbf{x}) + a_j(\mathbf{x}))^* - a_{k-1}^*(\mathbf{x})$, $0 \leq j \leq k-2$.*

Proof. From the (ii) of Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) &= \frac{1}{p^{k-1}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}} \mathcal{W}_{g_{\mathbf{c}}}(\mathbf{u}) \gamma_{\mathbf{c}} \\ &= \frac{1}{p^{k-1}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}} \alpha_{\mathbf{c}} \zeta_p^{g_{\mathbf{c}}^*(\mathbf{u})} \gamma_{\mathbf{c}} \\ &= \beta \zeta_{p^k}^{f^*(\mathbf{u})}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

By the proof of Theorem 3.3 (set $t = 1$), we know that $\alpha_{\mathbf{c}} = \beta \in \{\pm 1, \pm \sqrt{-1}\}$ for all $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}$.

Suppose that $f^*(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p^i b_i(\mathbf{x})$ and denote the component functions of f^* by $h_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x}) = b_{k-1}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} c_i b_i(\mathbf{x})$ for every $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}$. By Lemma 5.1 (i), we have

$$\zeta_{p^k}^{f^*(\mathbf{u})} = \frac{1}{p^{k-1}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}} \zeta_p^{h_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{u})} \gamma_{\mathbf{c}} \tag{16}$$

Combining (15) with (16), we can get

$$\sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}} \zeta_p^{g_{\mathbf{c}}^*(\mathbf{u})} \gamma_{\mathbf{c}} = \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}} \zeta_p^{h_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{u})} \gamma_{\mathbf{c}}.$$

Note that $\{\gamma_{\mathbf{c}} | \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}\}$ is linear independently over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ by Remark 2.2, thus we have

$$\zeta_p^{g_{\mathbf{c}}^*(\mathbf{u})} = \zeta_p^{h_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{u})}$$

for all $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}$. Further, $g_{\mathbf{c}}^*(\mathbf{u}) = h_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{u})$, for all $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}$. For simplicity, in the following we also use $\sum_{i=0}^{k-2} p^i c_i$ represents $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{k-2}) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}$. Finally, $b_{k-1} = h_0(\mathbf{u}) = g_0^*(\mathbf{u}) = a_{k-1}^*$ and with $g_{p^j}^* = h_{p^j} = b_{k-1} + b_j$ and $g_{p^j} = a_{k-1} + a_j$, $0 \leq j \leq k-2$, we get

$$b_j = (a_{k-1} + a_j)^* - a_{k-1}^*, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k-2.$$

□

5.2 The generalized Gray map of gbent function

In this subsection, we firstly define the *s-plateaued* function for p -ary Boolean functions, and then show the Gray image of any gbent function in $\mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$ is a $(k-1)$ -plateaued function.

Definition 5.1. For a p -ary Boolean function f , then f is called *s-plateaued* if there exist some $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, such that

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ or } \pm \zeta_p^a p^{\frac{s}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even or } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 1(\text{mod } 4), \\ 0 \text{ or } \pm \sqrt{-1} \zeta_p^a p^{\frac{s}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 3(\text{mod } 4). \end{cases}$$

We recall the definition of Gray mapping.

Definition 5.2 (see [1]). The Gray map is the mapping G from $\mathbb{Z}_4$ to $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ defined by

$$G(0) = (0, 0); G(1) = (0, 1); G(2) = (1, 1); G(3) = (1, 0).$$

G can be extended to a mapping from $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_2^{2n}$ coordinate-wisely. It was also generalized to $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$ by Carlet in [1]. Recently, the Gray map was generalized to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ by Heng et al in [3]. Let $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$ and be give as $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p^i a_i(\mathbf{x})$, for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$. The generalized Gray map $G : \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k} \rightarrow \mathcal{GB}_{n+k-1}^p$ is defined by

$$G(f) : \mathbb{Z}_p^n \times \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$$

$$(\mathbf{x}, y_0, \dots, y_{k-2}) \mapsto a_{k-1}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} a_i(\mathbf{x}) y_i.$$

It is known that the reverse image of the Hamming distance by the generalized Gray map is a translation-invariant distance.

We now can show that the generalized Gray map of a gbent function in $\mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$ is a $(k-1)$ -plateaued function.

Theorem 5.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^k}$ be a gbent function given as $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p^i a_i(\mathbf{x})$. Then $G(f)$ is a $(k-1)$ -plateaued function in $\mathcal{GB}_{n+k-1}^p$. For every $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z}_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n \times \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}$, where $\mathbf{z}_r = (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{k-2}) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}$ and $r = \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} p^i z_i$. We have

$$\mathcal{W}_{G(f)}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z}_r) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ or } \pm \zeta_p^a p^{\frac{k-1}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even or } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 0 \text{ or } \pm \sqrt{-1} \zeta_p^a p^{\frac{k-1}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. When n is even or n is odd and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. For every $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z}_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n \times \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{G(f)}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z}_r) &= p^{-\frac{n+k-1}{2}} \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}_j) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n \times \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}_r \cdot \mathbf{z}_j + G(f)(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}_j)} \\ &= p^{-\frac{n+k-1}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{z}_j \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{z}_r \cdot \mathbf{z}_j} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x} + a_{k-1}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{z}_j \cdot A(\mathbf{x})} \\ &= p^{-\frac{k-1}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{z}_j \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-1}} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{z}_r \cdot \mathbf{z}_j} \mathcal{W}_{a_{k-1} + \mathbf{z}_j \cdot A}(\mathbf{u}) \\ &= p^{-\frac{k-1}{2}} \overline{H_{p^{k-1}}^{(r)}} (\pm \zeta_p^a H_{p^{k-1}}^{(j)})^T \\ &= \begin{cases} \pm \zeta_p^a p^{\frac{k-1}{2}} & \text{if } r = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } r \neq j, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $H_{p^{k-1}} = (\zeta_p^{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq p-1}^{(k-1)}$ is a generalized Hadamard matrix of order p^{k-1} , $A(\mathbf{x}) = (a_0(\mathbf{x}), a_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, a_{k-2}(\mathbf{x}))$ and $A = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-2})$. Note that the penultimate equal sign holds due to Corollary ??.

When n is odd and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, it can be proved similarly as above, we omit the details here. $\square$

6 Gbent functions from $\mathbb{Z}_{p^l}^n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$

In this part, we consider generalized Boolean functions from $\mathbb{Z}_{p^l}^n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$, where l, k are positive integers. The generalized Walsh-Hadamard transform of such a function f can be defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) = p^{-\frac{ln}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^l}^n} \zeta_{p^l}^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \zeta_{p^k}^{f(\mathbf{x})}.$$

f is called a gbent function if $|\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u})| = 1$ for all $\mathbf{u}$.

If $l \geq k$,

$$\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) = p^{-\frac{ln}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^l}^n} \zeta_{p^l}^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \zeta_{p^k}^{f(\mathbf{x})} = p^{-\frac{ln}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^l}^n} \zeta_{p^l}^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \zeta_{p^l}^{p^{l-k} f(\mathbf{x})},$$

then f is gbent from $\mathbb{Z}_{p^l}^n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ if and only if $p^{l-k} f(\mathbf{x})$ is gbent from $\mathbb{Z}_{p^l}^n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^l}$. Such gbent functions were introduced in [6] and were studied a lot in the

literature. In the following, we only consider generalized bent functions from $\mathbb{Z}_{p^l}^n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ with $l < k$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $l < k$ and $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} f_i(\mathbf{x})p^i$, where $f : \mathbb{Z}_{p^l}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ and $f_i : \mathbb{Z}_{p^l}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then*

$$\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{p^{k-l}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-l}} \mathcal{H}_{\sum_{i=k-l}^{k-1} f_i p^{i-(k-l)} + p^{l-1} (\sum_{i=0}^{k-l-1} c_i f_i)}(\mathbf{u}) \gamma_{\mathbf{c}},$$

where $\gamma_{\mathbf{c}} = \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-l}} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{d}} \zeta_{p^k}^{\sum_{i=0}^{k-l-1} d_i p^i}$.

Proof. According to the definition of $\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p^{\frac{nl}{2}} \mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^l}^n} \zeta_{p^l}^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \zeta_{p^k}^{f(\mathbf{x})} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^l}^n} \zeta_{p^l}^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \zeta_{p^k}^{\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} f_i(\mathbf{x})p^i} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^l}^n} \zeta_{p^l}^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \zeta_{p^k}^{\sum_{i=k-l}^{k-1} f_i(\mathbf{x})p^i} \prod_{i=0}^{k-l-1} \zeta_{p^k}^{f_i(\mathbf{x})p^i} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^l}^n} \zeta_{p^l}^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \sum_{i=k-l}^{k-1} f_i(\mathbf{x})p^{i-(k-l)}} \prod_{i=0}^{k-l-1} \zeta_{p^k}^{f_i(\mathbf{x})p^i} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^l}^n} \zeta_{p^l}^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \sum_{i=k-l}^{k-1} f_i(\mathbf{x})p^{i-(k-l)}} \prod_{i=0}^{k-l-1} \left(\frac{1}{p} \sum_{d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \left(\sum_{c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \zeta_p^{(f_i(\mathbf{x}) - d_i)c_i} \right) \zeta_{p^k}^{p^i d_i} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^{k-l}} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^l}^n} \zeta_{p^l}^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \sum_{i=k-l}^{k-1} f_i(\mathbf{x})p^{i-(k-l)}} \prod_{i=0}^{k-l-1} \left(\sum_{c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \left(\sum_{d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \zeta_p^{-c_i d_i} \zeta_{p^k}^{p^i d_i} \right) \zeta_p^{c_i f_i(\mathbf{x})} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^{k-l}} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^l}^n} \zeta_{p^l}^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \sum_{i=k-l}^{k-1} f_i(\mathbf{x})p^{i-(k-l)}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-l}} \zeta_p^{\sum_{i=0}^{k-l-1} c_i f_i(\mathbf{x})} \prod_{i=0}^{k-l-1} \left(\sum_{d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \zeta_p^{-c_i d_i} \zeta_{p^k}^{p^i d_i} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^{k-l}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-l}} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^l}^n} \zeta_{p^l}^{-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \sum_{i=k-l}^{k-1} f_i(\mathbf{x})p^{i-(k-l)} + p^{l-1} (\sum_{i=0}^{k-l-1} c_i f_i(\mathbf{x}))} \prod_{i=0}^{k-l-1} \left(\sum_{d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \zeta_p^{-c_i d_i} \zeta_{p^k}^{p^i d_i} \right) \\ &= \frac{p^{\frac{nl}{2}}}{p^{k-l}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-l}} \mathcal{H}_{\sum_{i=k-l}^{k-1} f_i p^{i-(k-l)} + p^{l-1} (\sum_{i=0}^{k-l-1} c_i f_i)}(\mathbf{u}) \gamma_{\mathbf{c}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds from Remark 2.5. This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 6.1. Let $l < k$ and $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} f_i(\mathbf{x})p^i$, where $f : \mathbb{Z}_{p^l}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ and $f_i : \mathbb{Z}_{p^l}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then f is gbent if and only if for any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ and $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-l}$, there exists some $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-l}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^l}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}_{\sum_{i=k-l}^{k-1} f_i p^{i-(k-l)} + p^{l-1} (\sum_{i=0}^{k-l-1} c_i f_i)}(\mathbf{u}) \\ &= \begin{cases} \pm \zeta_{p^l}^j \zeta_p^{\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{d}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even or } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \pm \sqrt{-1} \zeta_{p^l}^j \zeta_p^{\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{d}} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum_{i=k-l}^{k-1} f_i p^{i-(k-l)} + p^{l-1} (\sum_{i=0}^{k-l-1} c_i f_i) \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^l}$ for every $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^l}^{k-l}$, and $\mathbf{d}$ and j only depend on $\mathbf{u}$ and f .

Proof. If n is even or n is odd and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) = \pm \zeta_{p^k}^i$, for some $0 \leq i \leq p^k - 1$, by Lemma 2.3. Hence, $\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u})$ can be expressed as $\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) = \pm \zeta_{p^l}^j \zeta_{p^k}^{i-jp^{k-l}}$, where $0 \leq j \leq p^l - 1$, and let $d = i - jp^{k-l}$, $0 \leq d \leq p^{k-l} - 1$. Further, d can be expressed by $d = \sum_{j=0}^{k-l-1} p^j d_j$. We denote $\mathbf{d} = (d_0, \dots, d_{k-l-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-l}$.

According to Lemma 6.1, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{p^{k-l}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-l}} \mathcal{H}_{\sum_{i=k-l}^{k-1} f_i p^{i-(k-l)} + p^{l-1} (\sum_{i=0}^{k-l-1} c_i f_i)}(\mathbf{u}) \gamma_{\mathbf{c}}, \quad (17)$$

where $\gamma_{\mathbf{c}} = \sum_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-l}} \zeta_p^{-\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{d}} \zeta_{p^k}^{\sum_{i=0}^{k-l-1} d_i p^i}$. By Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\zeta_{p^k}^d = \frac{1}{p^{k-l}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-l}} \zeta_p^{\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{d}} \gamma_{\mathbf{c}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) &= \pm \zeta_{p^l}^j \zeta_{p^k}^{i-jp^{k-l}} = \pm \zeta_{p^l}^j \zeta_{p^k}^d \\ &= \pm \frac{1}{p^{k-l}} \zeta_{p^l}^j \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-l}} \zeta_p^{\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{d}} \gamma_{\mathbf{c}}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Combining (17) with (18), we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) &= \frac{1}{p^{k-l}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-l}} \mathcal{H}_{\sum_{i=k-l}^{k-1} f_i p^{i-(k-l)} + p^{l-1} (\sum_{i=0}^{k-l-1} c_i f_i)}(\mathbf{u}) \gamma_{\mathbf{c}} \\ &= \pm \frac{1}{p^{k-l}} \zeta_{p^l}^j \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-l}} \zeta_p^{\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{d}} \gamma_{\mathbf{c}}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Since $\sum_{i=k-l}^{k-1} f_i p^{i-(k-l)} + p^{l-1} (\sum_{i=0}^{k-l-1} c_i f_i) \in \mathcal{GB}_n^{p^l}$, it is absolutely that $\mathcal{H}_{\sum_{i=k-l}^{k-1} f_i p^{i-(k-l)} + p^{l-1} (\sum_{i=0}^{k-l-1} c_i f_i)}(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^l})$ in the case of n is even or n

is odd and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. We note that $\{1, \zeta_{p^k}, \dots, \zeta_{p^k}^{p^{k-l}-1}\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^k})$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^l})$ by Lemma 2.5 (i). So, $\{\gamma_{\mathbf{c}} | \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{k-l}\}$ is also a basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^k})$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^l})$ by Remark 2.4.

Based on the above mentioned discussion and (19), we can get

$$\mathcal{H}_{\sum_{i=k-l}^{k-1} f_i p^{i-(k-l)} + p^{l-1} (\sum_{i=0}^{k-l-1} c_i f_i)}(\mathbf{u}) = \pm \zeta_{p^l}^j \zeta_p^{\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{d}}.$$

If n is odd and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then $\mathcal{H}_f(\mathbf{u}) = \pm \sqrt{-1} \zeta_{p^k}^i$, for some $0 \leq i \leq p^k - 1$, by Lemma 2.3. Note that in this case, by the Lemma 2.2 (ii), we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{\sum_{i=k-l}^{k-1} f_i p^{i-(k-l)} + p^{l-1} (\sum_{i=0}^{k-l-1} c_i f_i)}(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4p^l}) \setminus \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2p^l}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^l}, \sqrt{-1}).$$

Similar as before, we can get

$$\mathcal{H}_{\sum_{i=k-l}^{k-1} f_i p^{i-(k-l)} + p^{l-1} (\sum_{i=0}^{k-l-1} c_i f_i)}(\mathbf{u}) = \pm \sqrt{-1} \zeta_{p^l}^j \zeta_p^{\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{d}}.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 6.1. Theorem 6.1 coincides with [15, Theorem 3.2] by setting $l = 1$.

7 Conclusions

In this paper, we further investigate properties of generalized bent Boolean functions from $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$. For various kinds of representations, sufficient and necessary conditions for bent-ness of such functions are given in terms of their various kinds of component functions. Furthermore, $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent functions and their relations to relative difference sets are studied. It turns out that $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent functions correspond to a class of vectorial bent functions, and the property of being $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -bent is much stronger than the standard bent-ness. The dual and the generalized Gray image of gbent function are also discussed. As a further generalization, we also define and give characterizations of gbent functions from $\mathbb{Z}_{p^l}^n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ for a positive integer l with $l < k$.

References

- [1] C. Carlet, $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$ -linear Codes, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, Vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 1543-1547, 1998.
- [2] A. Çeşmelioglu, W. Meidl, A. Pott, There are infinitely many bent functions for which the dual is not bent, arXiv:1511.02505.
- [3] Z. Heng, Q. Yue, Generalized Gray map and a class of p -ary nonlinear codes, Finite Fields Appl. vol. 36, pp. 36C51, 2015.
- [4] S. Hodžić, E. Pasalic, Generalized bent functions - sufficient conditions and related constructions, arXiv:1601.08084.

- [5] S. Hodžiú, W. Meidl, E. Pasalic, Full characterization of generalized bent functions as (semi)-bent spaces, their dual, and the Gray image, arXiv:1605.05713.
- [6] P.V. Kumar, R.A. Scholtz, L.R. Welch, Generalized bent functions and their properties, J. Combinat. Theory, Ser. A, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 90-107, 1985.
- [7] H. Liu, K. Feng, R. Feng, Nonexistence of Generalized Bent Functions From $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_m$, Designs, arXiv:1507.05751.
- [8] T. Martinsen, W. Meidl, P. Stănică, Generalized bent functions and their Gray images, arXiv:1511.01438.
- [9] T. Martinsen, W. Meidl, P. Stănică, Partial Spread and Vectorial Generalized Bent Functions, arXiv:1511.01705.
- [10] T. Martinsen, W. Meidl, S. Mesnager, P. Stănică, Decomposing generalized bent and hyperbent functions, arXiv:1604.02830.
- [11] K.U. Schmidt, Quaternary Constant-Amplitude Codes for Multicode CDMA, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, Vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 1824-1832, 2009.
- [12] P. Stănică, T. Martinsen, S. Gangopadhyay, B.K. Singh, Bent and generalized bent Boolean functions, Des. Codes Cryptogr., Vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 77-94, 2013.
- [13] Y. Tan, A. Pott, T. Feng, Strongly regular graphs associated with ternary bent functions, J. Combinat. Theory, Ser. A, vol. 117, no. 6, pp. 668-682, 2010.
- [14] C. Tang, C. Xiang, Y. Qi, K. Feng, Complete characterization of generalized bent and 2^k -bent Boolean functions, <http://eprint.iacr.org/2016/335>.
- [15] L. Wang, B. Wu, Z. Liu, $\mathbb{Z}_q$ -valued generalized bent functions in odd characteristics, arXiv:1605.02275.
- [16] L.C. Washington, Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields, GTM 83, Springer, New York (1997).